**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 7498 OF 2005**

Shoeb Ashfaque Khan & Ors. ..Petitioners

versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents

Shri P. K. Dhakephalkar i/b. Mr. N. P. Shimpi Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri C. R. Sonawane AGP for the Respondent No.1.

Shri R. S. Apte Advocate for the Respondent No.2

**CORAM : R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &**
**NARESH H. PATIL, JJ.**

**DATE : 17TH AUGUST, 2006**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard.

2. The learned Advocate for Respondents - Corporation state that the Corporation will consider the claim of each applicant either for exemption or for concession in payment of octroi duty in terms of Rules 13, 22 and 23 of the Rules applicable to the parties.

3. In view of this statement, the learned Advocate for the Petitioner craves leave to withdraw the Petition. Accordingly, we, accept the said statement on behalf of the Respondents Corporation and allow the Petitioner to withdraw the Petition. Needless to say that the implementation of the provisions of the said Rules in relation to the payment of octroi duty could be by the Corporation as well as by its agents also. With this observations the petition is allowed to be withdrawn. Petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J. )**

**(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)**